

THE GRAND COURT OF THE CAYMAN ISLANDS FINANCIAL SERVICES DIVISION

CAUSE NO. FSD 171 OF 2023 (RPJ)

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 124 OF THE COMPANIES ACT (2023 REVISION)

AND IN THE MATTER OF PORT LINK GP LTD. (IN VOLUNTARY LIQUIDATION)

Appearances:

	Mr David Allison KC instructed by Ms Jennifer Fox and Mr Harry Clark
	of Ogier (Cayman) LLP for the Plaintiffs
	Mr Andrew Johnstone and Ms Rhiannon Zanetic of Harneys for the Joint
	Voluntary Liquidators ("JVLs")
	Mr Daniel Bayfield KC instructed by Mr Matthew Dors of Collas Crill,
	for the Interested Parties (the "Receivers")
Before:	The Hon. Raj Parker
Heard:	18 September 2023
Date of Decision:	18 September 2023
Ex Tempore Ruling	
Delivered:	18 September 2023

230918 - In the Matter of Port Link GP Ltd. - FSD 171 of 2023 (RPJ)-Ex Tempore Ruling

EX TEMPORE RULING

- 1. The Court undoubtedly has a statutory jurisdiction to determine the nature of the proceeding that should apply to the General Partner. It may be that there are arguments as to the scope of that jurisdiction, but I am satisfied the Court has jurisdiction and therefore is not bound to grant the supervision application.
- 2. I have carefully considered all the factual material and the helpful written submissions as well as the oral submissions of counsel for all three parties who have addressed me, and I have come to the view that the central importance of managing the FSD 236 of 2020 litigation -- you will forgive my shorthand -- but for all that encompasses, to an efficient, fair conclusion is of paramount importance. There has already been, in the Court's view, too much cost and delay expended on not progressing it sufficiently expeditiously. And it seems to me that, in the circumstances that I set out in my judgment at the end of May, receivers should be in place and the work they have done for the last 3 months at some considerable expense, should continue.
- 3. There has been, in my estimation, no material change in circumstance from the position the Court reached after a careful evaluation at that stage, where the Court was not persuaded that the rigid rules of a liquidation should be applied with all the other attendant consequences, which would not, in the Court's estimation, be in the interests of justice overall.
- 4. The Court is minded for the Receivers to continue with a flexible regime, for a supervising judge to be appointed as soon as practicable, for the reasons advanced by Mr Bayfield, and the consequence is therefore that the supervision application in my discretion should be dismissed. It will mean that the JVLs will need to determine what their continuing role should be, and the parties are encouraged to identify a practical solution to that as quickly as they can.
- 5. Alternatively, they as JVLs may also apply to the Court for any assistance that they require, but if it results in, as a practical matter, their resignation, the Court is alive to that potential outcome from this decision.
- 6. So, the litigation shall remain in the control of the Receivers and all further matters relating to reporting, regulatory compliance, approval of fees, should be allocated to a different judge, which is

230918 - In the Matter of Port Link GP Ltd. - FSD 171 of 2023 (RPJ)-Ex Tempore Ruling

Page 3 of 3

a far more sensible course of action in light of the considerable issues raised in both the 236 litigation and the supervisory jurisdiction the Court will be taking over the Receivers.

- 7. As to Mr Johnstone's application for costs of the JVLs, so far and in relation to this application, I think the best course is for those costs to be reserved until they have been identified in terms of quantum and in respect of what work has been done, and if the parties cannot agree to them in due course then the Court will deal with costs at a suitable point in the conduct of the proceedings. It may be that the JVLs will not have to wait until the absolute outcome of the FSD 236 of 2020 proceedings, but we will see how much they are and to what extent they might be met with the assistance of the Receivers as well in due course.
- 8. Counsel are to draw up an Order to reflect this Ruling for the Court's approval.
- 9. Written reasons can be provided in due course if requested.

his lake

THE HON. MR JUSTICE RAJ PARKER JUDGE OF THE GRAND COURT

230918 - In the Matter of Port Link GP Ltd. - FSD 171 of 2023 (RPJ)-Ex Tempore Ruling